# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chun-Yang Lee

Serial No.: 10/069,150

Filing date: February 21, 2002

Title: Bookmark

Examiner: Mark T. Henderson

Group Art Unit: 3722

Attorney file: BPA-3

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile at 571-273-4362, and is addressed to: Rita Kent, on the date printed below:

Date: April 29, wos

Ying Tuo

Rita Kent

U.S Patent and Trademark Office

#### Communication

### Dear Rita Kent:

This responds to your e-mail of April 7, 2005, in which you requested me to send you the last response that I received from USPTO and inquired whether I have received the non-compliant letter for the amendment filed January 18, 2005. I am now providing you with my answers as follows:

1. The last response that I received from the USPTO is Notice of Abandonment (4 pages) mailed August 5, 2004, which is enclosed with this communication.

2. I have not received the non-compliant letter for the amendment filed January 18, 2005 as of April 15, 2005. If the non-compliant letter arrives in the mail box after April 15, 2005, I will inform you as soon as possible after I have been informed of it.

Respectfully submitted,

Ying Tuo

Registration No.: 38,789 Attorney for Applicant

Date: April 29, 2005

Ying-Tuo P.O. Box 14158 Fremont, CA 94539

E-mail: yxtuo@yahoo.com

April 29, 2005



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

PINITED STATES DEFANTMENT OF COMMENCE
United States Patent and Trademark United
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Boy 1860
Describe Union 223(1-1459)

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FURST NAMED INVENTOR PLUNG DATE APPLICATION NO. 1758 BPA-3 Chun-Yang Loo 02/21/2002 10/069,150 EXAMINED 08/05/2004 HENDERSON, MAILE T Ying Tuo 10 Shaniko CMN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P O Box 14158 3722 Framont, CA 94539 DATÉ MAILED: 08/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		LEE, CHUN-YANG
Notice of Abandonment	10/069,150	Art Unit
Notice of Adamounters	Examiner	
	Mark T Henderson	3722
- The MAILING DATE of this communication o	ppears on the cover encot will	1 mb comusperium ou
his application is abandoned in view of:		
. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the or reply (including a total extension of time or the or reply (including a total extension of time or reply (including a total extension of time or reply (including a total extension of time or reply (including a total extension of time).	I later in the contract of the	<u>d 05</u>
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it do	es not constitute a proper reply	stad amendment which pisces the
(A proper reply under 37 UFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely final replication in condition for allowance;	ligh collabilist they on (1) of they light collabilist they of Appeal (with appeal of CER 1 114)	il fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for
Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with (c) A reply was received onbut it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 2.1)	entille a pubber lepty. Or a pare.	ide attempt at a proper reply, to the new
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.		
z. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO	and publication fee, if applicable L-85).	, within the statutory period of three months
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (FTC)  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,  (b) The issue fee and publication of the stellular	was received on (with a	Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dates
Allowance (PTÓL-85).	, panera	7.169 (#110-bossoon <u>ari 100) =                                 </u>
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala	ance of S is due.	d by 37 CFR 1 18(d), is \$
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The briblication less it reduite	u by 31 G(10 1.15(2)) = 0
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	is not been tecelyen.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as	required by, and within the three	-month period set in, the Notice of
Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing	g or Transmission dated, which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The latter of express abandonment which is signed b	y the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed be 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Into of the decision has expired and there are no allowed.	erference rendered on an claims.	d because the period for seeking court revie
7. The reason(s) below:		
		·
*		A, L. WELLINGTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700
Positions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(s) or (b), or requests to v	vithdraw the holding of sbandohmen	t under 37 CFR 1.181, abould be promptly filed to
minimize any negative enects on patent term.	otice of Abandonment	Part of Peper No. 2094080
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)	Anna at the management	

Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/069,150	·	LEE, CHUN-YAN	IG			
	Deaminor		Art Unit				
	Mark T Hend	er <b>son</b>	3722				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Mark T Henderson.	(3)			•			
(2) Allomey Ying Tuo.	(4),						
Date of Interview: <u>05 August 2004</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.						
Claim(s) discussed:	•						
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the dalms f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's attorney can not be contacted</u> . <u>Several phone call have been made to the number given by the attorney of record. The number has be disconnected. There is also no submitted response to office action mailed on January 5, 2004. Therefore, application has gone abandoned.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
·							
			•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	i	Examiner's signa	ture, it required	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

#### Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Blust be filede of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or totaphone interview with regard to an application must be made in record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examinar was reached at the interview.

### Title S7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the epoticant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.O. 192)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent of Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants of their atterneys or egents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any allagrational promises, atiputation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The autlon of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the fallure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material insecuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable ecript in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or alterney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other elroumstances dictate, the Form chould be mailed promptly after the Interview rather then with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Seriel Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further abtion by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview,

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items;

- A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
   an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior at discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.

  5) a brief identification of the general turust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.

(The Identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verballm or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the erguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examinor can be understood in the ounland of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the exeminer.)

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

7) if appropriate, the general results or miltorne of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not parallels and

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the babel recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.